30

5

10

CLAIMS

- 1. An improved process for motivating students to utilize educational materials supplied by a provider, wherein said improvement comprises:
 - a. at least one sponsor;
 - b. at least one student who receives motivation to utilize said educational materials in the form of an incentive provided by said sponsor on condition that said educational materials are utilized in a specified manner monitored by said provider; and,
 - c. said sponsor receives promotional value from providing said incentive.
- 2. A process as in claim 1, wherein said incentive comprises as least some discount on the acquisition of at least one product associated with said sponsor.
- 3. A process as in claim 1, wherein said at least one sponsor comprises a multiplicity of sponsors.
- 4. A process as in claim 2, additionally comprising:
 - d. at least one authority;
 - e. said authority authorizes student participation; and,
 - f. said authority receives the benefit of the increased likelihood of improved utilization by said student.
- 5. A process as in claim 4, wherein said authority is a parent of said student.
- 6. A process as in claim 4, wherein said authority is an educator of said student.
- 7. A process as in claim 2, wherein said sponsor provides compensation to the entity providing said educational materials.
- 8. A process as in claim 4, wherein said authority provides compensation to the entity providing said educational materials.
 - 9. A process as in claim 7, wherein said authority provides compensation to the entity providing said educational materials.
- 35 10. A system wherein the process of claim 2 is carried out, substantially in its entirety, in a computer-mediated

5

10

manner.

- 11. A system wherein the process of claim 4 is carried out, substantially in its entirety, in a computer-mediated manner.
- 12. A system wherein the process of claim 7 is carried out, substantially in its entirety, in a computer-mediated manner.
- 13. A system wherein the process of claim 8 is carried out, substantially in its entirety, in a computer-mediated manner.
- 14. A system wherein the process of claim 9 is carried out, substantially in its entirety, in a computer-mediated manner.
- 15. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. the choice of which material is presented to a student at any given time is based on an assessment of prior student performance.
- 16. A system as in claim 15, wherein said assessment is accomplished through the use of artificial intelligence computer techniques.
- 17. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. the choice of which material is presented to a student at any given time is varied from student to student for the purpose of discouraging students from not doing their own work.
- 18. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. deceptive student activity is detected.
- 19. A system as in claim 18, wherein said deceptive student activity is detected by noting low accuracy and high speed of student participation.
 - 20. A system as in claim 18, wherein said deceptive student activity is detected by comparing an image of said student's face to a computer database image.
- 35 21. A system as in claim 18, wherein said deceptive student activity is detected by computer monitoring of student

5

10

interaction at the keyboard, and wherein said monitoring includes the analysis of time versus keystroke pattern for at least one previously-entered identifying phrase.

- 22. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. an image of said student's face is computer-monitored for the purposes of assessing student performance.
- 23. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. student interaction at the keyboard is computer-monitored for the purposes of assessing student performance, and wherein said monitoring includes the analysis of time versus keystroke pattern.
- 24. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. student performance and/or progress is reported.
- 25. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. at least one element of said system operates, substantially in its entirety, via a computer network such as the Internet.
- 26. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. at least one element of said system operates, substantially in its entirety, via automated voice response and telephone keypad entry.
- 27. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. student-to-student electronic communication.
- 28. A system as in claim 10, wherein in addition:
 - a. electronic communication between student and educator.
- 29. A system as in claim 10, wherein said educational materials comprise a series of sessions encountered over an extended period of time.
 - 30. A system as in claim 10, wherein said educational materials comprise a series of practice sessions for a standardized exam.
- 35 31. A system as in claim 10, wherein said educational materials comprise a series of practice sessions for a

35

5

10

Scholastic Aptitude Test.

- 32. An system for motivating students to utilize educational materials wherein:
 - a. A provider supplies educational material comprising a series of computer mediated sessions;
 - b. A student accesses said educational materials to a required degree as assessed by said provider;
 - c. A sponsor provides motivation to the student to meet said required degree of access by supplying as least some discount on the acquisition of some product associated with said sponsor;
 - d. Sponsor receives promotional value from said acquisition; and,
 - e. Provider, optionally, receives compensation from said sponsor.
- 33. A system as in claim 32 wherein, in addition.
 - f. A parent receives the benefit of the increased likelihood of improved utilization of said educational materials by said student; and,
 - g. Provider, optionally, receives compensation from said parent.
- 34. An improved system for preparing interactive instructional multimedia wherein said improvement comprises:
 - a. the incorporation of an artificial intelligent engine and/or expert 'instructional media designer' system into a first phase wherein said system interacts with an author/teacher;
 - b. said system makes preliminary suggestions to said author/teacher regarding segmenting and organizing domain specific knowledge base elements;
 - c. said author/teacher provides media files and organizational, structural, interconnection and/or labeling information, to said system;
 - d. said system analyzes the information input in step c. and makes additional suggestions to, and requests of, said author/teacher;
 - e. said author/teacher optionally provides additional media files and organizational, structural, interconnection and/or labeling information, in response to step d.;
 - f. said system presents a draft presentation for review by said author/teacher;
 - g. said author/teacher optionally makes adjustments in response to step f.; and,
 - h. said system outputs final draft as labeled media and interactive scripts.
- 35. A system as in claim 34 wherein said improvement comprises, in addition:
 - a. the incorporation of an artificial intelligent engine and/or expert 'teacher' system into a second presentation/learning phase wherein said system interacts with a student/user;
 - b. said system presents material in a default mode or, if available, in a mode dictated by a student profile/history and the type of material as indicated by pedagogical and cognitive labels supplied

10

- during said first phase;
- c. student interacts with material, including optional assessment;
- d. said system analyzes student answers to said assessment;
- e. said system repeats or adds material and assessment as needed per analysis of step d.;
- f. said system optionally analyzes keyboard and mouse dynamics to determine level of said student interest, and/or level of attention;
- g. said system optionally analyzes student voice and/or face to determine level of said student interest and/or level of attention;
- h. said student optionally makes explicit requests to adjust level of detail or complexity, speed of presentation and/or type of media;
- i. said student optionally makes explicit requests, or for additional information via several types of help requests;
- said system adjusts level of detail or complexity, and/or type of media of presentation based on some combination of analyses in previous steps; and,
- k. said system updates student profile based on performance and pedagogical and cognitive labels generated in said first phase.